

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/619,793	CANTRELL, DANIEL V.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne A. Walls	1731	

All Participants:

 (1) Dionne A. Walls.

 (2) Charles Lamb.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 17 December 2003
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-13

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested that claims 7-13, drawn to an inner wrap for a smoking article, be cancelled in order to place the Application in condition for allowance since the Examiner determined that the remaining claims, 1-6, drawn to a smoking article were allowable. Also, the Examiner suggested including the words -- said inner wrap --, in claim 1, to clarify the fact that it is the inner wrap, not the outer, that contains the claimed percentage of wood and flax fibers. Mr. Lamb agreed and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment.